Dr. Robert Townsend
Valor Consulting, PLLC
MEDICAL • LEGAL • NEXUS

Clare, Michigan | (989) 797-4200 | robert.townsend@valorconsultingmi.com

August 13, 2026

Jordan M. Ellis, Esq.
Harrison & Cole Veterans Law Group
Representative attorney address omitted

Re: Daniel R. Mercer - Representative Composite Independent Medical Nexus, Severity, and Employability Opinion

Date of birth: Representative composite - not a real patient identifier

Dear Ms. Ellis:

COMPOSITE DISCLOSURE: This representative work sample synthesizes recurring fact patterns and review methods from multiple deidentified Valor Consulting matters. Daniel R. Mercer is a fictional composite identity. Dates and nonessential details are synthesized for demonstration. This document is not a medical record, is not an opinion concerning an actual Veteran, and must not be filed in any claim.

Purpose and Questions Presented

Counsel asked me to demonstrate how I would analyze four related issues in a complex VA disability record: (1) whether chronic lumbar degenerative disease with bilateral radiculopathy is at least as likely as not related to service; (2) whether an established service-connected left-knee disability caused an additional increment in severity of right-hip osteoarthritis; (3) whether the present record establishes a current VA-qualifying bilateral hearing-loss disability and permits a definitive nexus opinion; and (4) what medical functional restrictions are supported for employability analysis. Counsel also asked that I identify material source conflicts and distinguish medical findings from adjudicative conclusions.

Records reviewed. The composite source set models the records routinely reviewed in actual assignments: service personnel and treatment records; DD Form 214; lay statements; primary-care, orthopedic, pain-management, chiropractic, physical-therapy, audiology, imaging, and surgical records; VA rating decisions and code sheets; Compensation and Pension examinations; condition-specific DBQs; and counsel's written assignment. In actual cases, material conclusions are traced to the original source document whenever available rather than relying on later summaries.

Opinion standard. I use *at least as likely as not* to mean that the favorable and unfavorable medical evidence is approximately balanced or nearly equal. I do not require scientific certainty. Important competing causes and contrary evidence are identified rather than treating a missing diagnosis, test, or record as proof of the opposite.

Qualifications. I am Robert Townsend, DO, a physician with more than 25 years of experience in Internal Medicine. I previously performed VA Compensation and Pension examinations as an independent physician contractor and reviewed service treatment records, longitudinal medical evidence, diagnosis, causation, aggravation, functional impairment, disability severity, and employability. I am also a Veteran of the United States Army and served as a Combat Medic.

Service and Medical Background

The composite Veteran served honorably in the United States Army from 1979 through 1985 as an infantryman. His duties included ruck marching, field exercises, uneven-terrain movement, lifting and carrying equipment, prolonged standing, bending and kneeling, and recurrent weapons-noise exposure. A 1982 service entry documents acute low-back pain after a field-training injury, without fracture on plain radiographs. The separation examination did not identify a chronic lumbar diagnosis. A service-connected left-knee disability was later established based on a separately documented in-service knee injury and persistent residuals.

Post-service records show recurrent low-back complaints beginning years before the most recent claim, including strain, sciatica, and later degenerative disease. Lumbar MRI ultimately documented multilevel disc-space loss, spondylosis, facet and ligamentous hypertrophy, central and foraminal stenosis. A later DBQ documented reduced thoracolumbar motion, weekly flares, positive straight-leg raising, bilateral neurologic abnormalities, and moderate bilateral radiculopathy. Longitudinal records also document persistent antalgic gait, cane use, left-knee pain, and later right-hip osteoarthritis.

1. Lumbar Spine Degenerative Disease With Bilateral Radiculopathy

Current diagnosis and functional picture

The current lumbar disability is objectively established. The modeled longitudinal record includes degenerative disc-space narrowing, multilevel spondylosis, facet and ligamentous hypertrophy, central and bilateral foraminal stenosis, chronic mechanical pain, and bilateral radicular findings. The condition-specific examination records flare-related loss, reduced motion, positive bilateral straight-leg raising, lower-extremity sensory/reflex changes, brace use, and an approximately 10-minute tolerance for sustained standing or walking.

Service mechanism and chronology

The in-service episode is not the only evidence considered. Infantry service imposed repeated axial and rotational loading through load carriage, marching, bending, climbing, and uneven-terrain activity. The contemporaneous 1982 injury establishes that the low back was symptomatic during service. Lay evidence describes recurrent symptoms after that event, while earlier post-service records document low-back care, sciatica, and disc-related complaints years before the most recent imaging. That chronology is medically more persuasive than a theory resting only on a recent diagnosis.

Contrary evidence and competing causes

I considered the non-diagnostic separation findings, the interval before the earliest clearly traceable post-service treatment, aging, body habitus, and ordinary post-service mechanical exposure. Those are real limitations. They do not make the later degenerative disease medically unrelated by default. The question is whether the whole pattern - documented in-service symptoms, physically demanding duty, recurrent post-service complaints, progressive objective disease, and neurologic involvement - is more coherent with material service contribution than with a completely unrelated process beginning only decades later.

Review of the prior negative C&P rationale

The modeled prior negative opinion relied primarily on the absence of a chronic diagnosis at separation and the lack of continuous contemporaneous treatment. That rationale addresses a record gap but does not meaningfully analyze the documented 1982 episode, the mechanical demands of infantry duty, the lay chronology, the earlier post-service sciatica and disc history, or the later imaging pattern. I therefore assign the prior opinion reduced persuasive medical weight. I do not reject it because it is unfavorable; I reject the incompleteness of the medical reasoning on which it depends.

Opinion - lumbar spine and bilateral radiculopathy: After weighing the documented in-service low-back event, repeated military load carriage and mechanical demands, longitudinal post-service symptom and treatment history, objective degenerative and stenotic disease, bilateral radicular findings, and the contrary evidence above, it is at least as likely as not that the chronic lumbar degenerative disorder and associated bilateral radiculopathy are related in material part to military service.

The medical literature is used as context rather than as a substitute for individual evidence. Upright MRI studies in active-duty Marines demonstrate reproducible lumbar postural and kinematic changes under operationally relevant load carriage. Those studies support biologic plausibility for substantial military loading as a source of lumbar mechanical stress, but they do not by themselves prove that load carriage caused this Veteran's disease. The nexus opinion rests principally on the individual chronology and objective record.

Record Reconciliation Example

A later VA summary in the modeled record reports gabapentin as "600 mg every four hours." Review of the original pain-management note shows 600 mg at bedtime. The original source controls. A second summary characterizes cane use as occasional, whereas the underlying condition-specific examination describes regular use. These discrepancies matter because medication burden and assistive-device use can materially change the severity and occupational analysis.

Valor review rule: when a later summary conflicts with the original examination, imaging report, laboratory result, or treatment note, reconcile the conclusion to the original source rather than silently repeating the secondary error.

2. Right-Hip Osteoarthritis - Secondary Aggravation

Diagnosis, gait, and competing causes

Right-hip osteoarthritis is objectively established by imaging and longitudinal pain complaints. The record also documents years of left-knee pain, antalgic gait, cane dependence, asymmetric trunk mechanics, reduced walking tolerance, and altered weight transfer. A later hip examination showed near-normal right-hip motion on that date. I consider that contrary evidence, but a relatively normal range-of-motion snapshot does not reverse established structural osteoarthritis or the earlier longitudinal gait abnormality.

Age and obesity are important independent contributors to osteoarthritis and likely explain part of the underlying degenerative process. They do not exclude a separate biomechanical contribution from chronic abnormal gait. Human gait research in knee osteoarthritis demonstrates altered loading not only at the knee but also at adjacent joints, including the hip. This supports a plausible mechanism by which persistent knee-related gait compensation can add stress to an already vulnerable hip. The literature does not establish causation in an individual; the individualized gait record remains controlling.

Opinion - secondary aggravation: It is at least as likely as not that the established service-connected left-knee disability caused an additional increment in the severity and functional burden of the right-hip osteoarthritis through chronic antalgic gait and altered weight transfer. I do not attribute all right-hip degeneration to the knee. The opinion is limited to the medically supportable additional severity attributable to the service-connected knee beyond the expected course from age, body habitus, and other nonservice factors.

This formulation follows the current secondary-aggravation framework: the medical question is the additional increase in severity attributable to the service-connected condition, with natural progression and other causes considered. A generic requirement that the additional worsening be "permanent" is not imposed.

3. Bilateral Hearing Loss - Current Disability Not Yet Established

The composite record supports hazardous infantry weapons-noise exposure and credible reports of progressive hearing difficulty. An older audiogram documented high-frequency sensorineural impairment but did not establish a compensable VA hearing-loss level, and the current record lacks recent VA-standard pure-tone thresholds and Maryland CNC speech-recognition scores sufficient to define the present regulatory disability picture.

Opinion - hearing loss: I do not render a definitive favorable or unfavorable nexus opinion for a current VA hearing-loss disability on this record. The exposure history is medically compatible with noise-related sensorineural injury, but the current-disability element must first be established by updated VA-standard audiometry. If updated testing confirms a current bilateral sensorineural hearing-loss disability, the etiologic question should then be addressed using the verified noise history, threshold configuration, post-service noise exposure, and complete audiologic chronology.

A missing current test is a development limitation, not negative nexus evidence. Saying "not yet" when a necessary diagnostic element is unresolved protects the credibility of favorable opinions that are medically supportable.

4. Medical Severity and Functional Findings

When counsel asks for rating-related medical analysis, I separate measured or observed medical severity from the adjudicative act of assigning the final benefit. In this composite record, the lumbar examination supports materially reduced thoracolumbar motion with flare-related functional loss, while the neurologic record supports bilateral moderate sciatic-distribution radiculopathy. Later relatively preserved findings prevent a medically defensible characterization above moderate neurologic severity.

Issue	Medical findings	Physician boundary
Lumbar	Reduced motion; weekly flares; brace use; limited standing/walking.	Describe measurements and function; VA assigns final code/percentage.
Radiculopathy	Pain/paresthesia plus objective sensory-reflex findings; no persistent severe motor deficit.	Moderate involvement is supportable; higher severity is not.
Right hip	Structural OA and gait burden, with a later near-normal ROM snapshot.	Reconcile contrary exam; do not inflate severity.
Hearing	Older high-frequency impairment; current VA-standard testing absent.	Do not speculate about current disability or percentage.

5. Combined Functional and Employability Opinion

The lumbar disorder with bilateral radiculopathy is the principal occupationally limiting condition. In combination with the established left-knee disability and the additional right-hip burden described above, the record supports substantial restrictions in prolonged standing and walking, lifting, carrying, climbing, bending, kneeling, squatting, repetitive vehicle entry and exit, rapid response, balance on uneven terrain, and sustained work without position changes. Cane use also limits bilateral hand availability during mobility.

These restrictions are incompatible with the composite Veteran's prior medium-to-heavy occupations involving route walking, warehouse movement, material handling, or repeated field mobility. Sedentary work is not assumed to be unrestricted: it would require an adjustable workstation, freedom to change position, limited walking between work areas, restricted lifting, avoidance of frequent stairs or kneeling, and reasonable symptom-related breaks.

Opinion - employability: It is at least as likely as not that the documented orthopedic and neurologic limitations prevent reliable performance of the Veteran's prior physically demanding work and ordinary sustained medium or heavy employment. The present medical record does not establish that these conditions alone eliminate every form of suitably accommodated substantially gainful sedentary employment. A final all-work determination should incorporate education, complete work history, transferable skills, earnings history, and vocational evidence.

This distinction is deliberate. The physician defines medically supportable restrictions; counsel, VA, and where appropriate a vocational expert address the ultimate adjudicative and vocational consequences.

Final Conclusions

- **Lumbar spine with bilateral radiculopathy:** Favorable direct-service nexus. The opinion rests on the documented in-service event, infantry mechanical demands, longitudinal post-service evidence, objective degenerative disease, neurologic findings, and explicit consideration of competing causes.
- **Right-hip osteoarthritis:** Favorable secondary-aggravation opinion limited to the additional severity attributable to the established left-knee disability and chronic abnormal gait. The opinion does not assign all hip degeneration to the knee.
- **Bilateral hearing loss:** Unresolved pending current VA-standard audiometry. Hazardous noise exposure is established in the composite facts, but current regulatory disability and current severity are not assumed.
- **Severity:** The medical record supports meaningful lumbar functional loss and moderate bilateral radiculopathy; contrary objective findings are used to prevent overstatement.
- **Employment:** The conditions preclude prior physically demanding work and ordinary medium/heavy employment, but the present medical record alone does not establish elimination of all substantially gainful sedentary work.

What This Composite Is Intended to Demonstrate

A strong nexus opinion is not made stronger by making every requested condition favorable. The physician should identify the original sources, reconstruct the chronology, choose the strongest medically supportable theory, address the most important contrary evidence and competing causes, state the conclusion at the level the evidence permits, and stop where diagnosis or testing is insufficient. The same discipline should govern rating and employability questions: describe medical severity and functional restrictions without assuming the adjudicator's or vocational expert's role.

In an actual Valor engagement, pre-opinion medical screening can identify favorable, unfavorable, and underdeveloped issues before counsel decides which questions should proceed to a signed evidentiary opinion.

Representative Source Record Trace

Because this is a composite work sample, the entries below describe the types of original documents from which the demonstrated conclusions are traced. They are not represented as records belonging to an actual person.

#	Representative original source	Material use
1	DD Form 214 and service personnel records	Service dates, infantry MOS, duty demands, hazardous-noise context.
2	Service treatment entry - acute low-back event	Contemporaneous in-service symptoms; no fracture; separation comparison.
3	Early post-service chiropractic / primary-care / hospital records	Low-back pain, strain, sciatica, disc history before recent claim development.
4	Lumbar radiographs and MRI	Degeneration, spondylosis, central/foraminal stenosis.
5	Back / peripheral-nerve DBQs	Motion, flares, SLR, motor/reflex/sensory findings, assistive-device use, occupational impact.
6	VA rating decision and negative C&P; opinion	Prior rationale, favorable findings, and specific evidentiary omissions addressed in rebuttal.
7	Physical-therapy / orthopedic gait records and hip imaging	Antalgic gait, cane use, asymmetric mechanics, structural right-hip OA, contrary later ROM findings.
8	Audiology records	Older high-frequency impairment and need for current VA-standard testing.

Selected Medical and Framework References

1. Rodriguez-Soto AE, et al. Effect of load carriage on lumbar spine kinematics. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2013;38(13):E783-E791. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3182913e9f.

2. Rodriguez-Soto AE, et al. Effect of load magnitude and distribution on lumbar spine posture in active-duty Marines. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2017;42(5):345-351. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000001742.

3. Mundermann A, Dyrby CO, Andriacchi TP. Secondary gait changes in patients with medial compartment knee osteoarthritis: increased load at the ankle, knee, and hip during walking. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2005;52(9):2835-2844. doi:10.1002/art.21262.

4. 38 C.F.R. § 3.310(b): secondary aggravation addresses an increase in severity of a nonservice-connected disease or injury attributable to a service-connected disease or injury, apart from natural progression. Legal application remains for counsel and VA; the physician addresses the medical increment in severity.

REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLE - UNSIGNED - NOT FOR FILING.
Prepared solely to demonstrate Valor Consulting review methodology and report style. A real medical opinion is released only after physician review of the actual record and the specific questions posed by counsel.

Robert Townsend, DO | Valor Consulting, PLLC | ValorConsultingMI.com